

Serial No. 09/822,598  
Amdt. dated September 21, 2004  
Reply to Office Action of June 21, 2004

Attorney Docket No. PN01023AA

### **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5), because they include reference signs for "203" (FIG. 2), "213" (FIG. 3), "311" (FIG. 3), and "500" (FIG. 5).

As shown by the accompanying annotated sheets of drawing, reference numbers "203" and "213" have been removed from FIGs. 2 and 3, respectively. Also, reference to reference numbers "311" and "500" have been added to the paragraph beginning at page 7, line 30, of the specification.

Replacement drawing sheets will be submitted in due course. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the objection to the drawings are respectfully requested.

The disclosure is objected to in view of certain informalities. The paragraph beginning at page 6, line 23, and the paragraph beginning at page 7, line 6, are hereby amended in accordance with the changes suggested by the above Office Action. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the objection to the disclosure are respectfully requested.

Claims 1 through 29 are objected to in view of certain informalities. The claims are hereby amended to address the issues raised by the above Office Action. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the objection to claims 1 through 29 are respectfully requested.

Claims 1, 2, 4 through 13, 15 through 20, and 22 through 29 remain in this application. Claims 3, 14 and 21 are hereby canceled without prejudice or disclaimer, and claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 11 through 13, 17 through 19, 22, 24 and 28 are hereby amended.

Serial No. 09/822,598  
Amdt. dated September 21, 2004  
Reply to Office Action of June 21, 2004

Attorney Docket No. PN01023AA

Claims 1, 2, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,690,739 to Mui ("Mui patent"). Also, claims 12 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over the Mui patent in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,729,558 to Mobin ("Mobin patent").

The Examiner has acknowledged that claims 3 through 11, 13 through 18, and 21 through 28 are directed to allowable subject matter. In particular, claims 13 through 18 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the objections set forth in the above Office Action. In addition, claims 3 through 11 and 21 through 28 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form to include all limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims, and amended to overcome the objections set forth in the above Office Action. As stated above, all objections set forth in the above Office Action has been addressed. Also, independent claims 1 and 19 are hereby amended to include all limitations of dependent claims 3 and 21, respectively, and claims 4, 6, 8, 22 and 24 are hereby amended to depend from independent claims 1 and 19. Therefore, claims 1, 2, 4 through 13, 15 through 20, and 22 through 29 are in condition for allowance. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. §102(e) rejection and 35 U.S.C. §103(a) rejection of claims 1, 2, 4 through 13, 15 through 20, and 22 through 29 are respectfully requested.

### CONCLUSION

No amendment made was related to the statutory requirements of patentability unless expressly stated herein. Also, no amendment made was for the purpose of narrowing the scope

Serial No. 09/822,598  
Amdt. dated September 21, 2004  
Reply to Office Action of June 21, 2004

Attorney Docket No. PN01023AA


of any claim, unless Applicant has argued herein that such amendment was made to distinguish over a particular reference or combination of references.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to deduct any additional fees arising as a result of this response, including any fees for Extensions of Time, or any other communication from or to credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 50-2117.

It is submitted that the claims clearly define the invention, are supported by the specification and drawings, and are in a condition for allowance. Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case. Should the Examiner have any questions or concerns that may expedite prosecution of the present application, the Examiner is encouraged to telephone the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,  
Chen, Weizhong, et al.

Please forward all correspondence to:  
Motorola, Inc.  
Law Department (HDW)  
600 North US Highway 45, AS437  
Libertyville, IL 60048

  
Hisashi D. Watanabe  
Attorney for Applicant(s)  
Registration No. 37,465  
Telephone: (847) 523-2322  
Facsimile: (847) 523-2350

09/21/04  
Date

Attachment: Annotated Sheets Showing Changes